According to a new discovery of an old fossil structure which is assigned to bees as their nest, some controvercy hase been arised to it's accuracy. Critics of this assumption stat that bee were not exist on earth as long as the fossil had created. Owing to this fact, they believe that this 200-million-year-old structure couldn't build by bees. Skeptics provide some reason for their doubt. In other hand, the professor insist to assigning this structure to bees by refute critic's reasons.

First, while, the reading mentions that due to no discovery of fossil bee, they were not exist in 200 million years ago, the professor illustrate the prossesse of fossilize and describe that not existing a bee fossil can not refuse the existence of bees on that period. Sinc, fossilize prossess need some liquid rezin, secrete by some kinds of trees, which were not exist on earth in that span. Thereby, it's possible that bees had existed, but the condition were not suitable for a fossil

Second, the passage imply to absence of flower. As a matter of fact, they opin bees should feed on flower. However, the lecture explain that bees could nutrient from other type of plant, like fern.maybe they chose flower after while because of some changes on earth.

Third,some evidence about lack of structure are mentiones in the passage which show that this structure is different from modern bee nest. But the professor, discribes the result of an experiment, which shows that the chemical structures of modern bee nests are made by a water proof material, exactly like the substance wich has found in the given fossil.